Міністерство освіти і науки України
Київський національний лінгвістичний університет
Кафедра германської і фіно-угорської філології

КУРСОВА РОБОТА

на тему: **Основні теорії мови Ноема Хомського**

Студентки 3 курсу Мла 03-19 групи
напряму підготовки 035 Філологія
спеціальності 035.041 Германські мови та літератури (переклад включно), перша – англійська
Високінська Є.В.

Науковий керівник :
Канд. філол.наук, проф. Волкова Л.М.

Національна шкала _____
Кількість балів _____
Оцінка ЄКТС _____

м. Київ 2023 р.

Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine
Kyiv National Linguistic University
Chair of the German and Finno-Ugrian Philology

Term Paper

Noam Chomsky's main theories of language

Vysokinska Yelyzaveta
Group Mla 03-19
Germanic Philologyand Translation Faculty

Research Adviser
Prof. VOLKOVA L.M.
PhD (Philology)

Kyiv 2023

Table of contents:

INTRODUCTION

Noam Chomsky is a well-known American linguist, philosopher, and cognitive scientist who is largely considered as one of the twentieth century's most significant intellectuals. His theories on language and thought have influenced several subjects, including linguistics, psychology, philosophy, and computer science. Chomsky's language theories are founded on the concept that humans have an innate ability to learn language and that grammar rules are hard-wired into our brains.

Chomsky's work on language began in the 1950s, when he developed his theory of generative grammar, which proposes that language is formed in the mind by a set of rules. He also popularized the idea of Universal Grammar, which holds that all languages share certain underlying concepts and laws. Chomsky's work has had a tremendous impact on the area of linguistics, leading to the development of several computational models of language processing (Robert F. Barsky, 1997 ,p.119-122).

The relevance of the work on Noam Chomsky's main theories of language is highly relevant as it has significantly contributed to the field of linguistics and our understanding of how language is acquired and processed by the human brain. Chomsky's ideas, such as the concept of a universal grammar and the distinction between surface structure and deep structure, have had a profound impact on the study of language and have influenced numerous other disciplines, including psychology, computer science, and philosophy. Additionally, Chomsky's work has also been instrumental in shaping our understanding of language as a tool for communication, social interaction, and cultural expression.

The object of the Paper is the study linguistic theories by N. Chomsky in Modern English

The subject of the Course Paper is the study, analysis and evaluation of Chomsky's contributions to linguistics and language acquisition. The Course Pork delves into the subject matter in detail to provide a comprehensive understanding of Chomsky's theories and their significance in the field of language studies.

The aim of the Term Paper is to provide a comprehensive understanding of Chomsky's contributions to linguistics and language acquisition.

The practical significance of a term paper on Noam Chomsky's main theories of language lies in its potential to inform language teaching, assessment, technology, cross-cultural communication, and cognitive development.

The theoretical significance of a term paper on Noam Chomsky's main theories of language have significant theoretical implications for the study of linguistics and cognitive psychology.

CHAPTER ONE. CHOMKY'S THEORIES OF LANGUAGE

1.1. The theory of generative grammar

According to Noam Chomsky's generative grammar theory, people have an inbuilt potential to construct an endless number of sentences using a finite set of rules. The rules of language, according to this view, are part of a universal grammar that is hard-wired into our brains. Chomsky claims that grammar rules allow us to produce an endless number of sentences, including ones we have never heard before. He claims that this talent is unique to humans and that it is not shared by any other animal. The notion behind generative grammar is that all sentences have a deep structure and a surface structure. The deep structure of a sentence represents its underlying meaning, but the surface structure represents how the statement is really presented. (Chomsky, N. ,1965,p. 35-36)

The sentence that uses generative grammar as an example is "The dog chased the cat". This sentence is formed by a series of rules that combine the noun phrase "the dog" with the verb phrase "chased the cat" to form a full sentence, according to Chomsky's theory. The rules that produce this statement are part of English grammar, and they are also responsible for producing other grammatical sentences like "The cat chased the dog" or "The dog was chased by the cat."Another example of generative grammar is the sentence "I saw the movie with my friends." Chomsky's theory states that this sentence is formed by combining the subject "I," the verb "saw," the object "the movie," and the prepositional phrase "with my friends." These pieces are joined according to English grammar rules to form a grammatically correct statement.(Jong-Bok Kim , Laura A. Michaelis, 2020, p. 2-4)

Chomsky's generative grammar theory has had a considerable impact on the area of linguistics, as well as the study of language and the mind. It has also resulted in the creation of computational language processing models and artificial intelligence.

1.2. The concept of universal grammar

According to Noam Chomsky's concept of universal grammar, there are underlying principles and norms that are shared by all human languages. These concepts and laws, according to this idea, are innate and hard-wired into the human brain (Chomsky, N., 1995, p. 1-67).

Chomsky believes that universal grammar is to blame for children's ability to learn language so quickly and readily. He claims that they are born with an intuitive understanding of the basic structure of language, allowing them to quickly learn the specific laws of their native language.

Language acquisition studies have revealed that children learn language in a highly ordered and systematic manner, lending support to the concept of universal grammar. For example, regardless of the language they are learning, kids typically acquire grammar in a specific order.

Chomsky's universal grammar theory has had a considerable impact on the area of linguistics, influencing research into language acquisition, language change, and language universals. It has also resulted in the development of computational language processing models, which try to duplicate the human ability to acquire and produce language.

1.3. The innateness hypothesis

According to Noam Chomsky's innateness hypothesis, the human brain is hard-wired with the innate ability to acquire language. Chomsky argues that all humans possess a hypothetical mental module called the language acquisition device (LAD), which enables them to quickly and effortlessly acquire the rules of their native language. This theory has resulted in the development of computational models of language processing that seek to replicate the human capacity for language acquisition and production.

Chomsky posits that the LAD is a unique and innate mechanism exclusive to humans, which is responsible for children's ability to learn language so effortlessly and rapidly. The LAD provides a set of underlying principles and rules that are common to all human languages, allowing children to acquire the specific rules of

their native language through exposure to language in their environment (Chomsky, N., 1980, p. 26-61).

Studies on language acquisition provide support for the innateness hypothesis, showing that children can learn language quickly, even before they can speak, indicating that language acquisition is innate. Children from diverse cultures and linguistic backgrounds learn language in similar ways, suggesting the presence of an innate, universal grammar. The fact that children learn language without explicit instruction also implies the existence of a natural language obtaining device in the brain. Moreover, individuals with brain damage may lose their language ability while retaining cognitive functions in other areas, which supports the idea that language is a specialized cognitive ability that can be impaired.

1.4. The language acquisition device (LAD)

Language acquisition device (LAD) is a hypothetical cerebral module proposed by Noam Chomsky that is responsible for language acquisition. Chomsky describes the LAD as an intrinsic mechanism that permits children to quickly and easily learn the rules of their native language.

Chomsky proposes that the LAD gives children with a set of universal principles and rules for all human languages, allowing them to learn the specific norms of their local language through exposure to language in their environment. He claims that the LAD is present in all humans and that it is essential for language development.

The LAD concept is strongly related to Chomsky's broader theory of generative grammar and the idea that language is a hard-wired talent in the human brain. The LAD is regarded as a subset of this larger theory, offering a mechanism for how language acquisition occurs (Chomsky, 1959, p.26-58).

Chomsky's LAD theory has had a tremendous impact on the discipline of linguistics, influencing the study of language acquisition, language universals, and language evolution. It has also resulted in the development of computational

language processing models, which try to duplicate the human ability to acquire and produce language.

1.5. Transformational grammar

Transformational grammar developed by Noam Chomsky is a linguistic theory that focuses on the underlying structure of sentences and how they can be converted into new forms. According to the idea, all sentences have a deep structure and a surface structure, with the deep structure representing the phrase's underlying meaning and the surface structure representing the actual words used to express the sentence.

Transformational rules, according to Chomsky, are employed to derive the surface structure of a sentence from its deep structure. Using a finite set of rules, we can construct an unlimited number of sentences. Chomsky contends that transformational grammar is a fundamental component of our natural language capacity and is accountable for our ability to construct and comprehend an unlimited number of sentences.

The concept of transformational grammar has had a profound impact on the discipline of linguistics, influencing research into language learning, language universals, and language evolution. It has also resulted in the development of computational language processing models, which try to duplicate the human ability to acquire and produce language (Chomsky, 1965, 283-296).

1.6. Deep structure and surface structure

Transformational grammar is a linguistic theory developed by Noam Chomsky that focuses on the underlying structure of sentences and how they can be converted into new forms. According to the idea, all sentences have both a deep structure and a surface structure, with the deep structure representing the underlying meaning of the sentence and the surface structure representing the actual words used to communicate the sentence.

Transformational rules, according to Chomsky, can be used to derive the surface structure of a sentence from its deep structure. Using a finite set of rules, we can construct an unlimited number of sentences. Chomsky contends that transformational grammar is a fundamental component of our natural language capacity and is accountable for our ability to construct and comprehend an unlimited number of sentences (Chomsky, 1957).

In general, transformational grammar is an important component of Chomsky's broader theory of generative grammar, which contends that language is a hard-wired skill in the human brain. Transformational grammar is a strong tool for studying the nature of language and its role in human cognition by exploring the underlying structures of language and the ways in which sentences can be altered.

1.7. Competence vs. Performance

Noam Chomsky's language theory identifies two characteristics of language: competence and performance. Competence relates to a speaker's underlying knowledge and skill, whereas performance refers to the actual use of language in real-world situations.

Chomsky contends that linguistic competency is an innate talent that is hardwired into the human brain. This natural knowledge enables us to comprehend and produce an endless number of phrases while adhering to a limited set of rules. Our performance in a language, on the other hand, can be influenced by a range of factors such as exhaustion, interruptions, or anxiety.

Linguists, according to Chomsky, should focus on linguistic competence rather than linguistic performance. Linguists can acquire insight into the nature of language and its role in human cognition by investigating the underlying knowledge and skill that speakers possess. In contrast, studying language performance can be misleading since it might be influenced by factors unrelated to linguistic competence (Chomsky, 1965, p. 3-10).

The contrast between competence and performance has had a profound impact on the discipline of linguistics, influencing the study of language acquisition, language universals, and language evolution. It has also led to the development of computational models of language processing, which try to recreate the human ability to acquire and produce language by focusing on linguistic competence.

1.8. Chomsky's critique of behaviorism

Noam Chomsky's language theory is sometimes viewed as a reaction against the prevailing behaviourist perspective of language learning that predominated in the mid-20th century. The relevance of environmental variables in moulding human behaviour, particularly language acquisition, was emphasised in behaviourism, a psychological theory. Children learn language through a process of imitation and reinforcement, in which they replicate the language they hear from others and are reinforced when they generate accurate language, according to behaviourism.

Chomsky's criticism of behaviorism stemmed from his conviction that the theory was incapable of accounting for the complexity and originality of human language. He contended that imitation and reinforcement could not explain language acquisition solely since toddlers frequently construct innovative and inventive sentences that they had never heard before. Chomsky argued that language acquisition had to be founded on an innate knowledge of language norms and structure, which he referred to as Universal Grammar (Chomsky, 1959, p. 26-58).

Chomsky also claimed that behaviourism could not explain for the speed and efficiency with which children learn to speak. He argued that language acquisition would be a lengthy and difficult process if it was exclusively focused on imitation and reinforcement. Instead, he proposed that children have an inbuilt Language Acquisition Device (LAD) that permits them to learn language rapidly and easily.

CHAPTER TWO. CRITICISMS AND DEBATES

2.1. Criticisms of Chomsky's theories

Chomsky's language theories have been both important and controversial, and they have received countless criticisms throughout the years. Among the most common objections are:

Some opponents contend that Chomsky's views lack factual foundation and are based on speculation and intuition rather than concrete proof. Some contend, for example, that the concept of Universal Grammar is difficult to test scientifically, and that the innateness hypothesis is still debatable.

Some critics say that Chomsky's theories focus too much emphasis on syntax and formal structure, ignoring other components of language such as semantics, pragmatics, and discourse.

Other critics say that Chomsky's theories fail to account for the significant variance in language use and structure across different languages and dialects. Some contend that his theories, for example, imply a standard or idealised form of language that may not be representative of actual language use in all settings.

Some detractors claim that Chomsky's ideas are excessively focused on abstract formal principles, and that they do not effectively represent the intricacies of real-world language use, such as language change, language contact, and language use in social circumstances (Chomsky, 2014, p. 33-49).

Finally, some critics contend that other theories of language, such as usage-based and constructionist approaches, which emphasise the importance of experience and usage in creating language knowledge and use, better account for language acquisition and use.

Despite these criticisms, Chomsky's theories remain very influential in the study of language, and have made contributions to a variety of domains such as linguistics, psychology, neuroscience, and artificial intelligence.

2.2. Empirical evidence for and against Chomsky's theories

In the discipline of linguistics, Noam Chomsky's beliefs regarding language have been both immensely influential and controversial. While there is evidence to support his beliefs, there is also evidence to dispute them. (Chang, Dell, & Bock, 2006, p. 234–272). Here are some significant arguments in favour and against Chomsky's theories:

Chomsky's theories are as follows:

One of Chomsky's most renowned theories is Universal Grammar, which proposes that all humans are born with an innate grasp of language structure. This argument has some factual evidence in the fact that children all around the world acquire their native language at the same rate and in the same method, regardless of the language.

Chomsky's early work on Syntactic Structures claimed that language is essentially composed of a set of rules for combining words into sentences that are guided by a hierarchical structure. A number of studies have revealed that humans perceive language hierarchically, with smaller components being integrated into larger ones, lending support to this notion.

Against Chomsky's theories:

Language acquisition: While Chomsky's Universal Grammar hypothesis suggests that children are born with an innate grasp of language structure, many academics disagree. They contend that children learn language through a combination of environmental and cognitive input.

Linguistic typology: Chomsky's theories have been criticised for focusing on linguistic syntax rather than the differences in structure between languages. Many linguists believe that there is no such thing as universal grammar and that different languages evolved in different ways, resulting in different linguistic structures.

Neuroscience: According to some experts, Chomsky's views are not supported by what we know about the brain and how it processes language. Language processing, for example, has been demonstrated in studies to include a variety of different brain regions, and that various regions are involved in different

aspects of language, such as grammar, semantics, and pragmatics (Sprouse, 2011, p. 155–167).

CHAPTER THREE. CHOMSKY'S IMPACT ON LINGUISTICS AND RELATED FIELDS

3.1. Chomsky's influence on psychology, philosophy, and cognitive science

Noam Chomsky's work has influenced a wide range of areas, including psychology, philosophy, and cognitive science (Searle, 1972, p.11-14). Here are some examples of how his work has influenced various fields:

Psychology: Chomsky's language ideas have been especially significant in the study of psychology, where they have helped define our understanding of how people acquire and process language. Chomsky's views about the innate nature of language and the existence of a Universal Grammar were particularly influential in this area, leading to the development of a variety of distinct language acquisition models.

Philosophy: Chomsky's work has also had an impact on philosophy, particularly in the field of philosophy of language. His thoughts about the nature of language and its link to thought and meaning have sparked significant debate and discussion among philosophers.

Cognitive science: Chomsky's language ideas have also had an impact on the subject of cognitive science, which aims to understand how the mind works. Chomsky's insights regarding the structure of language and its intrinsic base have shaped our understanding of the relationship between language and thought, leading to the development of novel cognitive processing models.

Political theory: Chomsky's political writings have also had a substantial impact, particularly in the field of political theory. His critiques of power and the role of the media in moulding public opinion have been particularly powerful, helping to define how we think about politics and democracy.

3.2. Chomsky's impact on language teaching and language learning

Noam Chomsky's language ideas have had a profound impact on language instruction and learning. Here are a few examples of how his work has influenced these fields:

Communicative language teaching: Chomsky's theories about language as a tool for communication influenced the development of communicative language teaching, which emphasizes the significance of utilizing language to transmit meaning in real-life settings. This approach to language instruction has grown in popularity in recent years, and it is seen as an excellent way of assisting learners in developing their language abilities in a natural and realistic manner.

Focus on form: Concentration on form: Chomsky's insights about the structure of language have also influenced how language is taught in schools. Many language teachers now adopt a "focus on form" method, which entails attracting learners' attention to specific parts of language structure in context rather than solely on vocabulary and grammar rules.

Natural language acquisition: Chomsky's beliefs about the innate character of language have also had an impact on language learning, particularly in the area of second language acquisition. Many language learners now feel that learning a second language may be done in a natural and authentic fashion, without the need for explicit instruction.

Critical language awareness: Chomsky's work on the relationship between language and power spurred the development of critical language awareness, which teaches learners to think critically about how language is used to form our perception of the world. This method to language acquisition is especially crucial for students studying a second language in a different social or political setting than their own (Lightbown & Spada, 2013, p. 58-59)

3.3. Chomsky's impact on computer science and artificial intelligence

Language theories advanced by Noam Chomsky have had a considerable impact on computer science and artificial intelligence, particularly in the fields of natural language processing and machine learning. (Chomsky, 1959, p. 26-58).Here are a few examples of how his work has influenced these fields:

Computational linguistics: Chomsky's views about language structure influenced the development of computational linguistics, which is concerned with

the development of computer systems that can process and analyse human language. Chomsky's views about the innate character of language and the existence of a Universal Grammar have been especially influential in this area, guiding the development of algorithms and models for natural language processing.

Machine learning: Chomsky's work on language acquisition has also had an impact on the development of machine learning algorithms. Many natural language processing machine learning models are based on Chomsky's beliefs on how humans learn language and attempt to duplicate similar processes in the development of artificial intelligence systems.

Human-computer interaction: Chomsky's beliefs about the significance of communication have also influenced the development of human-computer interaction, which is focused with designing computer systems that can be utilised by humans in a natural and intuitive manner. Chomsky's emphasis on language as a medium for communication has aided in the creation of interfaces and systems capable of understanding and responding to human language in a natural and realistic manner (Chomsky, 1956, p.113-124.).

CONCLUSIONS

Generally speaking, Noam Chomsky's language ideas have had a substantial impact on linguistics as well as allied subjects such as psychology, philosophy, cognitive science, computer science, and artificial intelligence. Chomsky's contributions to the study of language have provided a framework for understanding the nature of language and its acquisition, including his theory of generative grammar, concept of universal grammar, innateness hypothesis, language acquisition device, transformational grammar, deep structure and surface structure, competence vs. performance, and critique of behaviourism.

Despite the criticisms and arguments that have surrounded Chomsky's theories, there is scientific evidence for and against his beliefs, and his influence on the area of linguistics is obvious. His work has sparked additional inquiry and investigation, and his theories continue to shape our knowledge of language and its significance in human cognition.

Chomsky's ideas have influenced subjects like as psychology, philosophy, and cognitive science, where his language theories have been applied to understanding the nature of mind and consciousness. Furthermore, his concepts have had a considerable impact on language teaching and learning, as well as computer science and artificial intelligence, as they have informed the creation of language learning programmes and natural language processing systems.

In the final analysis, Chomsky's language theories have had a significant impact on our understanding of the nature of language and its role in human cognition, and his ideas continue to define the study of linguistics and allied subjects today.

RÉSUMÉ

Курсова робота на тему: Основні теорії мови Ноема Хомського.

Виконала - Високінська Єлизавета Василівна

Курсова робота складається зі вступу, трьох розділів, висновку, резюме та списку використаних джерел. У першому розіділі “Теорії мови Хомського” представлені теорії мов Нotма Хомського та їх складові. У другому розділі “Критика та дискусії” представлені всі за та проти теорій Хомського і дискусії у лінгвістиці щодо цих теорій. У третьому розіділі “ Вплив Хомського на лінгвістику та суміжні галузі” представлена інформація щодо впливу наукових досліджень Хомського на різноманітні галузі лінгвістики.

У даній курсовій роботі всього:

Сторінок: 21

Список використаних джерел: 25

Список ілюстрованих матеріалів: 0

LIST OF REFERENCE MATERIALS

1. Hauser, M. D., Chomsky, N., & Fitch, W. T. (2002). The faculty of language: What is it, who has it, and how did it evolve? Science, 298(5598), 1569-1579.
2. Jackendoff, R. S. (2002). Foundations of Language: Brain, Meaning, Grammar, Evolution. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
3. Lightfoot, D. (1999). The Development of Language: Acquisition, Change, and Evolution. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.
4. O'Grady, W. (2005). How Children Learn Language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
5. Pinker, S. (1984). Language Learnability and Language Development. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
6. Pinker, S. (1994). The Language Instinct: How the Mind Creates Language. New York: William Morrow.
7. Radford, A. (2004). Syntax: A Minimalist Introduction. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
8. Sampson, G. (2005). The 'Language Instinct' Debate. London: Continuum.
9. Selkirk, E. O. (1984). Phonology and Syntax: The Relation between Sound and Structure. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
10. Chomsky, N. (n.d.). Noam Chomsky's official website. Retrieved April 19, 2023, from https://chomsky.info/
11. Smith, N., & Tsimpli, I. (1995). The Mind of a Savant: Language Learning and Modularity. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.
12. Tomasello, M. (2003). Constructing a Language: A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
13. Chomsky, N. (1957). "Three models for the description of language". IRE Transactions on Information Theory, 2(3), 113-124.
14. Chomsky, N. (1959). "A review of B.F. Skinner's Verbal Behavior". Language, 35(1), 26-58.
15. Chomsky, N. (1965). "Aspects of the Theory of Syntax". Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 6(3), 283-296.

16. Chomsky, N. (1972). "Language and Mind: Descartes and Locke". Philosophy and Public Affairs, 1(1), 44-69.
17. Chomsky, N. (1980). "Rules and Representations". Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 3(1), 1-61.
18. Chomsky, N. (1986). "Knowledge of Language and Its Nature". Nature, 320, 25-32.
19. Chomsky, N. (1995). "The Minimalist Program". MIT Occasional Papers in Linguistics, 1, 1-67.
20. Chomsky, N. (2000). "Minimalist inquiries: The framework". MIT Occasional Papers in Linguistics, 18, 1-28.
21. Chomsky, N. (2001). "Derivation by phase". MIT Occasional Papers in Linguistics, 20, 1-32.
22. Chomsky, N. (2013). "Problems of projection". Lingua, 130, 33-49.
23. Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (2013). How languages are learned. Oxford University Press. (pp. 27-30, 58-59)
24. Searle, J. R. (1972). Chomsky's revolution in linguistics. New York Review of Books, 18(2).
25. Chang, F., Dell, G. S., & Bock, K. (2006). Becoming syntactic. Psychological Review, 113(2).
26. Sprouse, J. (2011). A validation of Amazon Mechanical Turk for the collection of acceptability judgments in linguistic theory. Behavior Research Methods, 43(1).