

Міністерство освіти і науки України  
Київський національний лінгвістичний університет  
Кафедра германської та фіно-угорської філології

Курсова робота  
на тему: Службові слова англійської мови в мові та мовленні

Студента групи Мла 02-20  
факультету германської філології і перекладу  
денної форми здобуття освіти  
спеціальності 035.041 філологія  
Жидченка Костянтина Ігоровича

Науковий керівник:  
кандидат філологічних наук  
професор Волкова Лідія Михайлівна

Національна шкала \_\_\_\_\_

Кількість балів \_\_\_\_\_

Оцінка ЄКТС \_\_\_\_\_

Київ 2024

Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine  
Kyiv National Linguistic University  
Chair of Germanic and Finno-Ugrian Philology

Term Paper

Function words of English in language and speech

Kostya Zhydchenko

Group 02-20

Germanic Philology and Translation Department

Research Adviser

Prof. L.M. Volkova

PhD (Linguistics)

Kyiv 2024

## Зміст

INTRODUCTION.....	4
1.1 The meaning of function words .....	5
1.2 Historical outlook of function words .....	6
1.3 Usage of function words.....	7
1.4 The role of function words in sentence structure .....	9
2. Use of Function Words in Practice .....	10
2.1 How Function Words Shape Communication .....	10
2.2 The Multifaceted Role of Function Words in Natural Language Processing .....	12
2.3 Function words in the grammatical aspect of learning a second language...	14
2.4 Function words from a Noam Chomsky's view. ....	16
CONCLUSIONS.....	19
RÉSUMÉ.....	21
LIST OF REFERENCE MATERIALS .....	22

## INTRODUCTION

Function words are an integral part of any language and play an important role in shaping the structure of language and conveying information. They are of particular importance to the language and manner of speaking English, which is considered one of the most widely spoken languages in the world and is used as a means of communication internationally.

The relevance of studying English function words in speech and language is that understanding their roles and functions is an important aspect of learning the language and improving your oral skills.

The subject of the study is English function words, such as conjunctions, indicatives, prepositions, articles, particles and auxiliary verbs. And to investigate the role and function of English function words in speech and language.

The aim of this course is to systematise and analyse English service words to determine their role and influence on language construction. The research methods include analysis of literary sources, corpus analysis of texts, comparative analysis of the language structure with and without service words. The practical significance of this study is to develop effective teaching methods aimed at developing the ability to use English service words, as well as ways to improve students' speech skills and identify English teachers.

## CHAPTER ONE. The functions of function words in speech

### 1.1 The meaning of function words

In the field of English language structure, the complex network of linguistic architecture manifests itself in the dichotomy between function words and content words. In this complex matrix, function words act as unsung heroes, creating linguistic coherence through their subtle but indispensable role. Unlike their ostentatious equivalents, content words, function words often lurk in the shadows, their meaning obscured by a lack of clear semantic weight. Yet, as Ammon Shea explains, their seemingly mysterious nature hides a profound utility.

Function words, including determiners, conjunctions, prepositions, pronouns, auxiliary verbs, adverbs, adverbs, and interjections, coordinate the complex dance of syntax, bridging differences in the components of a sentence into a harmonious whole. They are the silent conductors of linguistic symphonies, guiding the flow of information and illuminating the nuanced relationships between words. In contrast, content words are pillars of semantic clarity, representing tangible meanings and concepts in the linguistic tapestry. Nouns, adjectives, adverbs and non-auxiliary verbs stand proudly as the mainstays of lexical entities, giving meaning to sentences and giving them depth and richness.

However, amid this apparent dichotomy lies a more subtle truth: the interaction between function words and content is not one of harsh opposition but of symbiotic harmony. Each complements the other, interweaving complex strands of language with skill and subtlety. So, although the field of functional words may seem mysterious, its importance cannot be overstated. It is the invisible scaffolding on which the linguistic

edifice is built, providing the necessary framework for the development of communication.

## 1.2 Historical outlook of function words

Function words, the grammatical tools that tie sentences together and imbue them with meaning, offer fascinating insight into the dynamic nature of language. They have existed since the beginning of human communication, serving as invisible guides to our thoughts and ideas. But like languages themselves, function words are not static: they transform and evolve over time, reflecting the ever-changing world around them. This development can be driven by a multitude of forces. For example, borrowing words from other languages can introduce new function words or reshape existing words. Imagine a dynamic marketplace where languages exchange not only vocabulary but also grammatical tools. For example, the Norman Conquest of England probably influenced the development of English prepositions such as “because” and “since,” which are of French origin. Social changes may also play a role.

Flexibility is more important as society gets more complicated. This may result in the specialisation of already-existing terms or the creation of new function words. Consider the evolution of the modal verb “can” in English. Meaning “can” at first, it now conveys potential as well as permission, possibility, and even conjecture. This is a reflection of the increasing requirement to communicate more concepts in more complex ways. Even now, technological progress may leave its imprint. For instance, it's been claimed that as the Internet has grown, new discourse markers—such “like” and “um”—have emerged for usage in online communication, reflecting the closeness and immediate nature of the digital world. It seems like language is changing to fit the new forms of human communication. To comprehend this evolution, one must delve into the fascinating concept of grammaticalization. Imagine a full verb, filled with meaning, gradually transformed into a simplified function word, reserved only for grammatical tasks.

This is the essence of grammaticalization. Take the English auxiliary verb “be”. It originally meant “to exist” or “to be located. ” Over time, it loses its independent meaning and becomes a grammatical warhorse, connecting subjects and complements. Cognitive Linguistics adds another layer to this story. According to this view, function words do not refer to sentence structure; they also reveal the speaker's point of view. For example, the choice of a pronoun can indicate whether the speaker is directly involved in the situation (“I”) or whether they are taking a more detached position (“they”).

Function words become subtle tools that paint a picture of the speaker's perspective along with the raw content of the message. The development of function words is a fascinating story, revealing the dynamic nature of language. It's a testament to the constant interaction between human communication, social change, and even technological advancement. By studying these transformations, we gain a deeper appreciation for the richness and adaptability of language, these ever-evolving tools for expressing our thoughts and experiences.

### 1.3 Usage of function words

One of the main functions of function words is to establish clear relationships between content words. For example, prepositions act as grammatical bridges, expressing spatial or temporal relationships between nouns and other elements in the sentence. Consider the sentence “The researcher placed the vial in the incubator. ” Here, the preposition “in” indicates the destination of the bottle's movement. Function words also promote brevity by introducing reference shortcuts. Pronouns replace previously mentioned nouns, thus avoiding redundancy. In the sentence “The astronomer observed the distant galaxy. It emits a faint light,” the pronoun “it” refers to “the galaxy,” eliminating the need for repetition. In addition to basic structure, function words can create complex hierarchical relationships within sentences. Conjunctions act as connectors, linking clauses or phrases to express different logical relationships. The sentence “The

experiment failed due to a faulty sensor but the researchers remained optimistic” uses the conjunction “because” to introduce a cause and effect relationship, while “but” shows the opposite sentiment. Interestingly, the frequency of use of function words varies. Articles such as “an”, “an” and “the” are grammatical devices that appear continuously, making the listener anticipate upcoming content and facilitating faster sentence processing. For example, the sentence “Found the restaurant. “Delicious food” is grammatically absurd. The presence of the definite article “the” in “restaurant” clearly indicates that it is a specific establishment and not just any restaurant.

Even the speaker's aim or mood can be altered by function words. Modal auxiliary verbs convey the speaker's capacity, consent, or duty. Examples of these verbs include "can," "could," and "must." The statement "experiment must be repeatable" highlights requirement, whereas "we can analyse the data now" shows competence. Words like "well," "so," and "uh" give additional pragmatic meaning. They may come across as unsure ("Well, that's a fascinating subject"), present fresh details ("So the results are inconclusive"), or even show shock ("Oh no! We overlooked a group of observers ").

Linguists may learn a great deal about the cognitive processes behind language generation and comprehension by examining the intricate relationships that exist between function and content words. Function words have a crucial role in enabling listeners to anticipate the grammatical structure and semantic content of sentences, as demonstrated by their effective processing.



## 1.4 The role of function words in sentence structure

Effective sentence structure relies not only on content words but also on an important set of words called function words. Unlike content that conveys specific meaning, function words have a grammatical purpose. They establish relationships between content words, promoting clarity and coherence in sentence construction.

There are different types of function words:

**Determiners:** These words introduce nouns, providing details about their specificity. These include “the” (specific – Eiffel Tower), “a/an” (non-specific – A delicious dessert), demonstratives such as “this” and “that” (this issue needs attention). immediate idea) and quantifiers like “some”. and “every” (Every cloud has a ray of hope).

**Pronouns:** Replace the nouns mentioned previously, Pronouns avoid repetition and maintain a smooth flow of the story. Examples include “he,” “she,” “it,” “they,” and possessive pronouns like “mine” and “my. ” yours” (Sarah passed the exam and her classmates were delighted).

**Prepositions:** These words emphasize the relationship between the noun and other elements in the sentence. They often indicate a location (the dog is under the table), a time (we will meet at noon) or a direction (go towards the light).

**Conjunctions:** Functions as connecting words, conjunctions connect words, phrases or even entire clauses. Coordinating conjunctions like “and” or “but” connect similar elements (the movie is funny, but the ending is predictable), while subordinating conjunctions like “because” or “although” introduces dependent clauses (we went hiking when the weather forecast was uncertain).

**Auxiliary Verbs:** These silent partners work with main verbs to shape their tense, appearance, mood, and voice. Common examples include various forms of "to be" (she is cooking dinner), "to Have" (they have arrived) and "to do" (have you seen this game? ).

Articles: A subcategory of determiners, definite articles determine whether a noun is definite ("the") or indefinite ("a/an"). (Mona Lisa is a famous painting. An unexpected guest arrived at our door).

## 2. Use of Function Words in Practice

### 2.1 How Function Words Shape Communication

Function words shouldn't be viewed as linguistic islands, nevertheless. Ellis underlined how crucial it is to incorporate their instruction into a larger framework that efluency. Good communication goes beyond just passing out information. It requires a complex interaction of language components that affect a message's social and cognitive aspects in addition to its content. Function words, which are frequently ignored in favour of grammatical building blocks, have a surprisingly complex and multidimensional function in this elaborate dance.

Although function words are commonly thought of as the support system for sentence structures, they actually have much more of an impact. They take on the role of conductors of meaning, arranging the thoughts in a logical manner, directing the discourse, and quietly expressing the speaker's standing in society. Heritage (2012) provides an example of this complex role in their study of cognitive attitudes. Cognitive markers like "know," "think," and "believe" serve as function words that provide insight into the speaker's level of certainty.

Selecting between "should" and "could," for example, can indicate hesitancy and receptivity to different points of view, encouraging a more cooperative conversation. Function words also act as subtly regulating power structures in a discourse. Words like "sort of" or "kind of" are hedging tactics that add a degree of hesitation and may help to lessen power disparities.

On the other hand, their absence can exercise control and convey confidence. Similar to how they guide the discussion between themes or summarise important points, discourse markers like "well" and "so" also serve as

transitional components, changing the pace and direction of the exchange. The critical role that function words play in controlling the flow of a conversation is highlighted by Sacks, Schegloff, and Jefferson's (1974) groundbreaking study on turn-taking. Words like "uh-huh" and "yeah" function as "backchannels," indicating the listener's attentiveness and readiness to yield the conversational floor.

In contrast, utterances like "good" or "interesting" might signal a more prolonged turn, prompting others to withhold their contributions momentarily (e.g., "This argument seems flawed..." vs. "I was thinking of visiting the beach this weekend..."). Furthermore, the choice of function words can be imbued with social meaning.

Modal verbs like "can," "will," or "could" soften the force of requests, whereas their absence can render them more blunt or even impolite. Particles like "excuse me" or "pardon me" acknowledge and convey the speaker's respect for the interlocutor, while their omission might be perceived as a sign of disrespect. In essence, mastering the subtle symphony of function words is paramount for achieving effective communication.

Function words shouldn't be viewed as linguistic islands, nevertheless. Ellis underlined how crucial it is to incorporate their instruction into a larger framework that efluency. Speakers are better able to negotiate the intricacies of human contact when they are aware of the varied roles they play in forming sentence structure, controlling the flow of speech, and communicating social signs.

This deep comprehension promotes understanding, cooperation, and ultimately the fruitful interchange of ideas in a variety of settings. In addition to the knowledge gained from Heritage and Sacks et al., additional study explores the complex connection between function words and particular discourse domains. Studies by Hyland (2005) and Günther (2004), for example, examine the typical usage of function terms in academic writing.

Function words shouldn't be viewed as linguistic islands, nevertheless. Ellis underlined how crucial it is to incorporate their instruction into a larger framework

that fluency. They find that discourse markers like "well" and "so," which are more prevalent in casual contexts, are minimized while connectors like "however" and "moreover," which indicate opposing arguments and logical development, are more prevalent. This deliberate use of function words meets the unique requirements of academic writing, which place a high value on logic, accuracy, and clarity.

To sum up, function words go above their seemingly unimportant grammatical purpose and become the unsung heroes of clear communication. They plan logical sequences, direct the flow of conversations, and discreetly communicate social meaning. Understanding function words' many meanings enables speakers to successfully negotiate the difficulties of interpersonal communication and promote effective communication in a variety of settings. As studies uncover the complex interactions between function words and particular discourse domains, our comprehension of language's remarkable ability to connect and convey meaning continues to deepen.

## 2.2 The Multifaceted Role of Function Words in Natural Language Processing

The field of Natural Language Processing (NLP), in which computers attempt to understand the complexities of human language, depends on an apparently benign class of phrases called function words. Due to their regular occurrence, these linguistic workhorses are sometimes overlooked, yet they really play a remarkably intricate and multidimensional role in the pursuit of proper language processing. In their groundbreaking study "Foundations of Statistical Natural Language Processing," Manning and Schütze (2016) demonstrated the critical role function words play as builders of grammatical structure.

Like attentive attendants, prepositional phrases rise to the call of "of," "to," and "for," directing the movement of noun phrases in a sentence. Similar to this, auxiliary verbs such as "can" and "will" take on the role as conductors of mood and

tense, influencing the temporal and modality elements of the sentence as a whole. The complex interaction of function words serves as the cornerstone upon which a sentence's great symphony is constructed. Nevertheless, function words have an impact that goes far beyond grammatical structure.

Take the field of machine translation, which is difficult because of the inherent intricacies of language. Function words take on the role of the Rosetta Stone in this situation, helping to understand the underlying syntactic and semantic structure of the original language and giving the translated text the illusive fluency. A single missed "the" can throw off the translation's whole flow, while a skillfully chosen "however" can span linguistic boundaries and guarantee that the intended meaning flows smoothly across cultural boundaries. Function words also excel at the stage of disambiguation, which is the skill of extracting a sentence's real meaning from its complex web.

Consider the minuscule word "not," which may flip the whole semantic script, despite its seeming innocuousness. The links between words, which are the foundation of sentence structure, are frequently revealed through the nuanced dance of function words. Function words act as the conductor's baton, coordinating the crucial relationships between subject and verb, object and preposition. But achieving expertise in NLP is not without its challenges. A difficult task is posed by the function words' extreme richness and context dependency. With its devious winks and subtle jabs, sarcasm may be confusing to computers, since their algorithms find it difficult to understand the complex relationship between function words and social indicators.

The problem is made more complex by the linguistic kaleidoscope of several languages and dialects, which necessitates the need for constantly changing models to negotiate the shifting terrain of function word usage. Function terms have been used by corpus linguistics, the brave surveyor of enormous literary landscapes, to reveal patterns in language use. These linguistic chameleons' frequency can reveal a text's genre and leave its stylistic mark unmistakable. Function words are even used by machine learning algorithms as their secret weaponry, providing insights

for tasks like sentiment analysis and part-of-speech identification. Nevertheless, the investigation of function word mysteries is still ongoing.

The symphony of function words will continue to be an important clue to understand as computers get closer to the unattainable goal of genuinely comprehending and producing human language. We can only close the gap between the digital and the human by deciphering the subtleties of these seemingly straightforward terms, paving the way for a time when computers converse as fluently and nuancedly as their human counterparts. This calls for a multifaceted strategy that combines knowledge from cognitive science, social psychology, and computational linguistics to develop natural language processing (NLP) models that are able to understand not just the grammatical structure but also the nuanced aspects of human language expressed by function words.

### 2.3 Function words in the grammatical aspect of learning a second language

When language learners set out on the difficult task of learning a second language, function words become essential roadmaps and guides. These words, which are sometimes overlooked because of their excessive frequency, are actually the crucial mortar that holds the language's bricks together. Gaining proficiency with them is essential for negotiating the complex communication landscape. Function words work quietly in the background to shape meaning and structure, in contrast to their more conspicuous counterparts, the nouns and verbs that grab the reader's attention right away.

Function words have been shown to be crucial to learning the second language time and time again. Students who do not realise the full extent of their ability are like travellers who are lost in a foreign city with no map or guidance. Research by eminent academics in the subject, such as Rod Ellis and Diane Larsen-Freeman, has demonstrated an important fact: function words become invaluable allies in the second language learning process when taught in context as opposed to solitary vocabulary exercises. Gaining an understanding of their dual

function—pragmatic and syntactic—enables students to apply them successfully in a variety of communication contexts.

For example, learners can communicate a range of attitudes and intentions with the use of modal verb subtleties (e.g., "should" conveys duty, while "could" offers possibilities). In a similar vein, speech markers such as "however" and "you know" serve as essential instruments for manoeuvring through the always fluctuating discourse, indicating shifts in both subject and speaker position. Rod Ellis warns, meanwhile, that for students, function words can sometimes represent the most dangerous ground. The key to using them accurately is having a solid understanding of the intricate syntax of the second language, the unwritten pragmatic principles, and the dynamic nature of conversation. Transcending the simple recital of regulations, clear teaching turns into an essential lifesaver. Ellis supports this strategy, highlighting the significance of offering plenty of chances to practise function terms inside communicative contexts.

Role-playing games may develop into lively dialogues that provide students a secure environment in which to try new things and let go of their inhibitions while using language in a real-world context. In addition to role-playing games, using technology in the classroom can improve students' acquisition of function words. Adaptive learning platforms allow teachers to tailor their training by concentrating on areas in which each student struggles, while corpus analysis tools expose students to the real-world usage patterns of function words in various contexts. However, function words shouldn't be considered in a vacuum. Ellis emphasises how important it is to incorporate their teaching into a larger framework that includes vocabulary, grammar, and discourse.

By providing students with an understanding of the pragmatic and structural foundations of function words together with their real-world applications, educators enable their students to use language with purpose and confidence. Another important factor is feedback, the hero of language acquisition who is sometimes forgotten. Larsen-Freeman highlights the need of providing

constructive feedback on the use of function words. With the use of these tips and self-correction encouragement, students may gradually increase their correctness and fluency. Finally, function words go beyond their seemingly unimportant function as grammatical modifiers. They act as a link between the foundational components of language and the actual craft of communication.

Teachers may equip students with second languages to deal with the challenges of a new language by promoting clear, context-based instruction, giving practice opportunities in communicative contexts, utilising technology for personalised learning, and providing constructive feedback. The secret to achieving fluency and successful communication throughout the second-language learning process lies in this multidimensional approach that takes into account both the pragmatic and structural aspects of function words. Additionally, studies in neurolinguistics indicate that teaching language learners function words helps improve their cognitive abilities. Increased awareness of function words has been linked to faster and more effective language acquisition, according to studies. This is because function words engage brain areas related to language processing.

Learning function words is an essential phase in the complex process of acquiring a second language. By realising their importance and putting good teaching practices into practice, teachers can provide students the skills they need to successfully traverse the complex world of learning a new language and communicate.

#### 2.4 Function words from a Noam Chomsky's view.

According to Noam Chomsky's groundbreaking views on Universal Grammar (UG), people possess an intrinsic capacity for language, which is a fundamental set of rules that regulate language learning in a variety of contexts. Although grammatical rules and vocabulary may vary throughout languages, Universal Grammar offers a framework for comprehending the principles of language.



Function words have an intriguing place in this paradigm, providing a window into the complex interactions between UG and language development. UG are sometimes disregarded as little more than grammatical scaffolding. Function words are not just acquired by exposure or rote memory, according to Chomsky. Their acquisition shows how UG concepts are activated, allowing students to recognise and efficiently use these terms..

According to Chomsky, there is a "poverty of the stimulus" issue because learners do not have access to enough information to properly understand the complexity of language learning. Function words are good examples of this idea. It is difficult to understand these subtle differences in meaning and use across situations by watching adult speech alone. This shows that UG gives students the fundamental tools they need to classify and employ function words according to their functions and roles in the larger sentence structure framework. From a general grammar point of view, function words are important because they help create phrase structure and hierarchical links within sentences..

Based on Chomsky's theory of phrase structure grammar, phrases join to generate bigger phrases through a sequence of recursive processes, which is how sentences are constructed. The mortar that holds these components together is function words. For example, prepositions indicate the connection between a noun phrase and another sentence element (e.g., "The book on the table"). Similar to this, conjunctions like "and" or "but" join clauses and show that they make sense together. A fundamental tenet of UG is the capacity to modify phrase structure and create hierarchical dependencies; function words provide learners with the means to put this theory into practice. Function words are also essential for indicating modality and the speaker's perspective about the proposition being made.

"Can," "may," and "must" are examples of modal verbs that express degrees of responsibility and potential. The fundamental UG concept of "competence," which relates to the speaker's internal understanding of linguistic rules, is reflected in these terms. Learning the subtleties of modality requires one to comprehend the

speaker's thoughts on the proposition, implying that UG helps students make good use of these terms while articulating their own positions. Chomsky does concede, nevertheless, that experience has a role in language learning. Although UG provide the basis, exposure to individual function words and their use in a given language is essential for honing their meaning and applications. For example, exposure alone may not make clear the minor distinctions between "because" and "since" when expressing causation.

In this situation, the need of training and feedback increases. By drawing attention to the subtle variations in usage, educators help students better grasp these function terms within the larger context of UG principles. Recent neurobiological research adds credence to the Chomskyan understanding of function words.

Function words appear to have a distinct neurological substrate for learning and usage, as evidenced by studies demonstrating the activation of particular brain areas during their processing. This supports the idea that UG is an inborn biological trait that equips students to recognise and use function words efficiently.

## CONCLUSIONS

Function words, the modest allies of language's vast declarations, perform a miracle far bigger than their numbers. These linguistic chameleons, who are frequently ignored, are what hold words and sentences together and transform them into intricate webs of meaning. Their study turned up a wealth of information that shed light on language's practical uses in everyday life as well as its theoretical underpinnings. Theoretically, function words take on the role of discourse builders, influencing the conversation's basic framework.

They manage the thoughts' flow, control the grammatical connections between concepts, and even mediate the unspoken laws governing conversational order. The intricate dance of function words may be examined under the microscope of linguistic frameworks like systemic functional linguistics and conversation analysis, which highlight their linguistic dependence and the breadth of their effect. However, function words have far more application outside of scholarly journals. They serve as the cornerstone of natural language processing and language acquisition in the actual world.

Teachers may assist students in writing natural-sounding sentences, tackling the complexity of grammar, and learning the keys to speaking smoothly by explicitly including them into language instruction. However, natural language processing faces a significant difficulty due to the complexity and context dependency that provide functional words with such strength. With its subtle winks and concealed jabs, sarcasm may be confusing to computer algorithms, which find it hard to understand the intricate relationship between function words and social cues. Furthermore, specialised models are needed to negotiate the shifting sands of functional word use due to the constantly fluctuating linguistic environment across various dialects and historical periods.

An additional level of mystery is added by the historical dance of function words. Even among languages that have a common ancestor, there are variances that provide intriguing details about the evolution of language. By examining the development of these little words, we can see how language changes and adapts throughout time, demonstrating the dynamic nature of communication. In summary, function word analysis is not within the scope of traditional academic research. There are important implications for linguistics research, language education, and the emerging field of natural language processing.

By delving into the lives of these humble language artists, we may gain greater insight into the workings of language, its teaching methods, and its dynamic nature. They are the invisible curators of meaning, orchestrating the symphony of human communication and enduringly impacting the language's ever-evolving history.

## RÉSUMÉ

Курсова робота на тему: Службові слова англійської мови в мові та мовленні.

Виконана Жидченком Костянтином Ігоровичем.

Курсова робота складається зі вступу, двох розділів, висновку, анотації та списку використаних джерел. У першому розділі зосереджено увагу на поняттях та ознаках службових слів, зокрема визначенні цього поняття, елементах та ключових рисах. У другому розділі розглядатимуться напрями дослідження службових слів, включаючи різні підходи, методології дослідження. Зрештою, ця робота має на меті дати розуміння значення слуюбових слів в англійській мові та цінності подальших досліджень у цій галузі.

У даній курсовій роботі є:

Сторінок –23

Використаних джерел: 18.

## LIST OF REFERENCE MATERIALS

1. Manning, C. D., & Schütze, H. (1999). *Foundations of Statistical Natural*.
2. Bybee, J., Perkins, R., & Pagliuca, W. (1994). *The Evolution of Grammar: Tense, Aspect, and Modality in the Languages of the World*. University of Chicago Press.
3. Pinker, S. (1994). *The Language Instinct: How the Mind Creates Language*. Harper Perennial Modern Classics.
4. Chomsky, N. (1957). *Syntactic Structures*. Mouton.
5. Heritage, J. (2012). Epistemics in Action: Action Formation and Territories of Knowledge. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 45(1), 1-29.
6. Kamide, Y., Altmann, G. T., & Haywood, S. L. (2003). The Time-Course of Prediction in Incremental Sentence Processing: Evidence from Anticipatory Eye Movements. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 49(1), 133-156.
7. Hopper, P. J., & Thompson, S. A. (1980). Transitivity in Grammar and Discourse. *Language*, 56(2), 251-299.
8. Sag, I. A., Wasow, T., & Bender, E. M. (2003). *Syntactic Theory: A Formal Introduction*. CSLI Publications.
9. Croft, W., & Cruse, D. A. (2004). *Cognitive Linguistics*. Cambridge University Press.
10. Jackendoff, R. (2002). *Foundations of Language: Brain, Meaning, Grammar, Evolution*. Oxford University Press.
11. Kutas, M., & Federmeier, K. D. (2011). Thirty Years and Counting: Finding Meaning in the N400 Component of the Event-Related Brain Potential (ERP). *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62, 621-647.
12. Larsen-Freeman, D. (2018). *Techniques and Principles in Language Teaching*. Oxford University Press.

13. Sacks, H., Schegloff, E. A., & Jefferson, G. (1974). A Simplest Systematics for the Organization of Turn-Taking for Conversation. *Language*, 50(4), 696-735.
14. "A Student's Introduction to English Grammar" by Rodney Huddleston and Geoffrey K. Pullum
15. "The Cambridge Handbook of Linguistics," Keith Allan and Kasia M. Jaszczolt
16. "Language Universals and Linguistic Typology: Syntax and Morphology," Bernard Comrie
17. Ellis, R. (2015). *Understanding Second Language Acquisition* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
18. Hopper, P. J., & Traugott, E. C. (2003). *Grammaticalization*. Cambridge University Press.